

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/702,439	KAMATA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773	

All Participants:

(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

(2) Qingyu Yin.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2006
Time: AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

112 2nd of claim 4

Claims discussed:

4,11-21

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner indicated that amendment of claim 4 to remove the word "fine" and cancellation of the non-elected claims would place the case into condition for allowance. Applicants agreed to the proposed changes.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)